Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/313,058	AYLWARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Xu Mei	2644
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Xu Mei</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. C. Hieken.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 August 2005</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-21</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Karagosian et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)☑ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>discussion regarding claims 1-21 about signal nomoralizing circuit in Karagosian et al that is differ from the claims. Proposed amendment will be filed in regard waveform similarity of different audio channels. An updated search will be conducted.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		4
	PRIMAF	RU MEI RY EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required